# MINUTES FOR THE COURT OF APPEAL STATE OF CALIFORNIA SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

### **April 7, 2003**

### **DIVISION ONE**

B156587 Sargon Enterprises, Inc. (Not for Publication)

V.

Donovan, et al.

We affirm the summary judgment for respondents, who are awarded costs.

Ortega, Acting P.J.

We concur: Vogel (Miriam A.), J.

Mallano, J.

B159072 People (Not for Publication)

v. Gentry

The judgment is affirmed.

Ortega, Acting P.J.

We concur: Vogel (Miriam A.), J.

Mallano, J.

### **DIVISION THREE**

B163261 People (Not for Publication)

V.

Corey Arnold Carter

The judgment is affirmed.

Klein, P.J.

We concur: Croskey, J.

Aldrich, J.

# DIVISION THREE (Continued)

B160749 People (Not for Publication)

V.

**Curtis Williams** 

The judgment is affirmed.

Klein, P.J.

We concur: Kitching, J.

Aldrich, J.

B161164 People (Not for Publication)

V.

Samuel Garcia

The judgment is affirmed.

Klein, P.J.

We concur: Kitching, J.

Aldrich, J.

B157754 People

V.

Richard O.

Filed order denying petition for rehearing.

# <u>DIVISION FIVE</u>

Court convened at 9:00 a.m.

Present: Turner, P.J., Grignon, J., Mosk, J. and Zaida G. Heraldez, Deputy Clerk.

B159929 DCFS v. James H.

Argument waived, cause submitted.

#### DIVISION FIVE (Continued)

B158433 People

V.

Ryan Patrick

Merits:

Argued by Ira M. Salzman for appellant and by Jason C. Tran, Deputy

Attorney General for respondent. Cause submitted.

B160067 People v. Myron Erick Cruse

B164036 In Re Myron Erick Cruse on Habeas Corpus

Merits:

Argued by Richard L. Fitzer for appellant/petitioner and by William T. Harter, Deputy Attorney General for respondent. Cause submitted.

B156187 Farid Azouri

V.

Odette Nayrouz, et al.

Merits:

Argued by Richard T. Ferko for appellant and by David Taam for

respondent. Cause submitted.

B154259 Erika K. Luri

V.

Robert Greenwald, et al.

Merits:

Argued by Michael N. Friedman for appellant and by John D. Guerrini and

David L. Krogh for respondents. Cause submitted.

Court adjourned.

#### **DIVISION SIX**

B156636 Davis (Not for Publication)

V.

Davis

The judgment is affirmed. Costs to respondent.

Yegan, J.

We concur: Gilbert, P.J.

Coffee, J.

#### **DIVISION SEVEN**

B154636 USRP (Ribbit), LLC (Not for Publication)

V.

Rowley Petroleum-Lakewood, LLC, et al.

The judgment is affirmed, but the award is modified to reflect USRP is entitled to \$215,700.07 on its complaint and Rowley is awarded \$370,228.098 on its cross-complaint with the amount offset, resulting in a net judgment requiring USRP to pay Rowley \$154,528.91, as provided in the original judgment. Respondents are entitled to their costs on appeal.

Johnson, J.

We concur: Perluss, P.J.

Woods, J.

B155011 Vance (Not for Publication)

V.

City of Los Angeles

The judgment is affirmed. Respondent(s) to recover costs.

Johnson, Acting P.J.

We concur: Woods, J.

Munoz, J. (Assigned)

#### **DIVISION SEVEN (Continued)**

B158358 Morrison (Certified for Publication)

v.

Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles

The judgment for respondent on the cause of action for injunctive and declaratory relief is reversed. The judgment for respondent on the cause of action for a writ of administrative mandamus is reversed and the trial court is directed to issue a writ commanding respondent to set aside its decision upholding appellant's discharge, to reconsider the case in the light of this opinion, and to take such further action as the trial court deems just and proper under the law. Appellant is awarded her costs on appeal.

Johnson, J.

We concur: Perluss, P.J.

Woods, J.

#### **DIVISION EIGHT**

B159408 People (Not for Publication)

V.

Romero

The clerk of the superior court is directed to modify the abstract of judgment to reflect that appellant's sentences for counts 9 and 11 are stayed, thus reducing appellant's prison term to 4 years and eight months, and to forward a copy of the corrected abstract to the Department of Corrections. As modified, the judgment is affirmed.

Rubin, J.

We concur: Cooper, P.J.

Boland, J.

B157262 People

V.

Robinson

Filed order denying petition for rehearing.

# **DIVISION EIGHT (Continued)**

B155427 Briezinger, et al. (Not for Publication)

V.

Rosen, et al.

for the reasons set forth above, the order is affirmed to the extent it denied appellants attorney's fees pursuant to their written agreement with the Brezinger's. We reverse the order to the extent it taxed Zimmerman costs of \$289 for filing his answer and summary judgment motion and \$80 for service of process charges. The matter is remanded to the trial court with directions to enter a new and different order regarding costs that is in conformity with our decision. Respondents to recover their costs on appeal.

Rubin, J.

We concur: Cooper, P.J.

Boland, J.